

Marriage

By Richard Carvath

(Issued 8th March 2010)

Richard Carvath – pro-marriage prospective Independent MP for Salford and Eccles – responds to the views on marriage of his rivals: Hazel Blears, Norman Owen and Matthew Sephton.

The current edition of the *Salford Advertiser* (04/03/10) newspaper has interviews (on pages 20 & 21) with three of my rivals for the new Salford and Eccles constituency: Hazel Blears (Labour); Norman Owen (Lib Dem); and Matthew Sephton (Conservative). I'd like to highlight their responses to a question relating to marriage in particular. Blears, Owen and Sephton were each asked: *“Do married couples deserve tax breaks? Why not extend it to cohabiting couples and single people?”*

The published response of Hazel Blears was: *“The Tory policy of giving tax breaks to married people is unfair on widows, divorcees and single parents doing their best to bring up their kids. We need practical measures to support stable, loving relationships, not gimmicks.”*

Blears just doesn't get it! Blears' view that marriage is equivalent to cohabitation is clearly implied in this statement. Blears considers the concept of the State actively supporting the marriage institution as a 'gimmick'!

The published response of Norman Owen was: *“What makes a married couple more deserving of financial assistance than a co-habiting couple or single person? This is another example of Tory policy helping a chosen few and ignoring those who really need assistance.”*

Owen neither recognises nor understands the vital importance of marriage. Married couples are not the “few” because most British households are headed by a married couple. The best way to assist unmarried parents is to encourage them to marry, but the tax and benefits system currently acts as a financial disincentive to marriage and this is directly contrary to the best interests of everybody without exception: therefore the sooner we encourage marriage and discourage cohabitation the better for all of us.

The published response of Matthew Sephton was: *“We can't go on ignoring the importance of strong families. They provide the stability, warmth and love we need to flourish as human beings, and the relationships they foster are the bedrock on which society is built. A Conservative government will not be neutral on this. Britain's families will get my full backing, including tax breaks to support couples who make a public commitment to a stable relationship in marriage or a civil partnership.”*

Sephton's response reads well up until the end, whereupon it becomes alarmingly apparent that Sephton equates homosexual-perversion with normal heterosexual union! Matthew Sephton is the head of the LGBTory group (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender Tories) and he is himself a practising homosexual (and he works as a

primary school teacher). The militant homosexual-pervert political agenda has been the single most destructive force active in British politics – and thus spreading throughout British society – since Labour came to power in 1997.

Pervert organisation Stonewall's co-founder Ian McKellan gave a public speech in April 2008 in which he referred to his meeting with Tony Blair three months before Labour's 1997 election victory: "*I reeled off Stonewall's demands, and he nodded, wrote them down and put a tick by them all. Then he said 'We will do all that.'*" Indeed it would not be unreasonable to say that for the last 13 years the UK has had a Stonewall/Labour Government. For the last 13 years Labour has done whatever Stonewall homosexual-perverts have ordered it to do.

Since 1997 Labour has: introduced a homosexual age of consent at 16 [there should be no age of consent to sodomy, which ought to be outright illegal as it once was]; lifted the ban on homosexual-perverts in the armed forces; abolished Section 28 of the Local Government Act 1988 (which had banned homosexual-pervert propaganda from schools and thus protected children); passed the law which permits transsexual-perverts to falsify their birth certificates; created civil partnerships to give homosexual-perverts their own legal parody of marriage; passed the law which allows homosexual-perverts to foster and adopt children; and introduced a so-called 'homophobic hate' law the purpose of which is to attempt to criminalise anybody who disagrees with the views of Stonewall – especially evangelical Christians like myself who testify that homosexuality is not normal and harmless but is in truth a serious perversion with harmful consequences. Hazel Blears fully supports all of these homosexual-pervert laws which Labour has passed since 1997, as does Matthew Sephton.

In considering Labour's abolition in 2003 of Section 28 of the Local Government Act 1988, and Labour's current 'sex education' proposals at time of writing [March 2010], we can observe the tragedy that in 22 years we have gone from politicians legislating to protect children from homosexual-perverts to the point where politicians are now trying to legislate to require all children to be introduced to the homosexual-perversion at school and indoctrinated into the lies that the homosexual-perversion is normal and harmless behaviour! Quite a remarkable and evil turnaround indeed.

The homosexual-pervert political agenda (exemplified by Stonewall) is *the* key driving force behind the secular-humanist 'equality and diversity' orthodoxy which is being forced upon the British people by pro-pervert secular-humanist politicians, pro-pervert public sector organisations, a pro-pervert media and pro-pervert quangos such as the deceitfully-named Equality and Human Rights Commission.

Human beings are heterosexual by nature. Moral truth, medical knowledge and common sense all strongly affirm that homosexuality is a depraved perversion. Homosexual-perverts are really heterosexual human beings in need of healing and help. Compared to the normal heterosexual population, homosexual-perverts are at much higher risk of many serious diseases, psychological problems, loneliness, debt, suicide and premature death. Homosexual-perverts suffering from self-inflicted diseases and infections – such as HIV and AIDS, rectal bleeding and anal incontinence, syphilis, hepatitis, gonorrhoea and a host of other nasty complaints – literally cost our taxpayer-funded NHS an absolute fortune to treat. The homosexual perversion is quite literally a deadly killer.

Marriage is only between one man and one woman. I seek the abolition of civil partnerships – or *civil pervertships* as I prefer to say – and the re-criminalisation of the homosexual perversion and so it follows that I do not support treating civil pervertship partners in the same way as husbands and wives in the tax and benefits system. Any encouragement by the State of homosexuality works directly contrary to the best interests of sodomites themselves (who need to be healed from the perversion) and

society as a whole (as homosexuality is intimately bound up with paedophilia, promiscuity, disease, 'sex education', pornography and prostitution – and also because homosexuality is destructive of all aspects of Britain's Christian foundations, the maintenance of which is in the best interests of the whole of society).

The homosexual-pervert political agenda is, always has been and will continue to be diametrically opposed to marriage – because by definition marriage is only for heterosexuals – and so in principle support for civil pervertships is incompatible with support for marriage. Civil pervertships constitute an attack upon marriage and thus it is not possible to agree with both; no genuine supporter of marriage can in conscience also approve of civil pervertships.

Carvathian position on Marriage

Family breakdown has caused the housing and benefit costs in support of single parents to mushroom. Strengthening marriage and the traditional British family will significantly reduce the strain on our welfare system and social housing and help to improve health and bring down crime and antisocial behaviour. I support the restoration of a joint income tax allowance for married couples and the reform of the welfare system to positively encourage marriage.

Cohabitation and marriage are not the same. The State should encourage marriage and discourage cohabitation. Most British marriages last for life – but the overwhelming majority of cohabiters don't make it beyond the first 10 years. Cohabiting women are at high risk of abandonment and thus single parenthood. A cohabiting woman's risk of becoming a single mother before her child's 5th birthday is more than double that of a married woman. On a wide range of social indicators and regardless of education or income, married couples and their children generally fare much better in life than either cohabiters or single parents and their children. Marriage works: the traditional British family is best.

Marriage is a definite and public act of binding commitment between a man and a woman to live faithfully as one for life. The commitment and responsibility of marriage is the proper and best setting for a man and a woman to have sex and the best social foundation upon which to raise a family. The marriage-based family is natural and fundamental to a strong, stable and civilised society. The State ought to encourage people in marriage in the best interests of the nation. It is vital that the State should again recognise the importance of marriage and actively support married couples in the interests of everybody in our society. Supporting marriage is the antidote to our chronic British crisis of family breakdown and all the associated misery, disorder and cost.